
## **Practice Activity Answers**



## **Case Example 1:**

**Answer:** No, 103 Underweight does not apply because the new cut-off definition (for computer-down growth plotting) is equal to or less than ( $\leq$ ) 2<sup>nd</sup> percentile weight-for-length. Maya's weight-for-length plots closer to the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile.

## **Case Example 2:**

**Answer:** Brady does not qualify for 113 Obese or 114 Overweight because he isn't old enough to meet the defined age for those nutrition risk criteria. He qualifies for 115 High Weight-For-Length because his growth plots equal to or above ( $\geq$ ) 98<sup>th</sup> percentile weight-for-length which is the cut-off definition for the new risk criterion (for computer-down growth plotting).

## **Case Example 3:**

**Answer:** Yes, Emma qualifies for 121 Short Stature Or At Risk Of Short Stature because her length-for-age is equal to or less than  $(\leq)$  2<sup>nd</sup> percentile which is the cut-off definition for short stature (for computer-down growth plotting).